		2 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial	mng Drampica	COLIDE
		UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT	COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASE Nebraska
		ADJUNED COLUMNICA	District of	2010 APR -8 PM 5: 3
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	ODDED O	
		V. JORGE ARREAGA	Case Number:	F DETENTION PENDING TRIAL 4:10MJ3012
	In a	Defendant coordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(1)	A a detention hearing has heer	held. I conclude that the following facts require the
		n of the defendant pending trial in this case.		There. Toolerade also are tollowing also require are
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in or local offense that would have been a federal offense a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life an offense for which a maximum term of imprison	if a circumstance giving rise to (a)(4). imprisonment or death.	o federal jurisdiction had existed that is
	` '	a felony that was committed after the defendant ha § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local of The offense described in finding (1) was committed what A period of not more than five years has elapsed since for the offense described in finding (1).  Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presafety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I fur	offenses.  A particular that the defendant was on release the date of conviction described that the condition or that the condition or the defendance of the	se pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.  Trelease of the defendant from imprisonment combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ternative Findings (A)	
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten		21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq.
×	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establis the appearance of the defendant as required and the safe	hed by finding 1 that no condit ety of the community.	
Ø	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.  There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger.	ternative Findings (B)  ur.  the safety of another person o	r the community.
		Part II—Written and that the credible testimony and information submitted of the evidence that	Statement of Reasons for at the hearing establishes by	Detention  Clear and convincing evidence a prepon-
		Current job;	a income of	ther than drug sale
reas Gov	ne ex onab ernn	Part III—Di defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ge stent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sent ole opportunity for private consultation with defense coment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall extion with a court proceeding.	ences or being held in custod unsel. On order of a court of	stative for confinement in a corrections facility separate y pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded at the United States or on request of an attorney for the

April 8, 2010	s/ Cheryl R. Zwart
Date	Signature of Judicial Officer
	Cheryl R. Zwart, U.S. Magistrate Judge
	Name and Title of Indiain Officer

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).